## REMARKS

# 1. Rejection of claims 1 & 9 under 35 U.S.C. 112:

Claims 1 & 9 recite the limitation "quantity of the dye" in lines 13 & 14, respectively. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

### Response:

10

Claims 1 & 9 are amended to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112 detailed in the above Office action, and now appear prefixed with the indefinite article as suggested.

# 15 2. Rejection of claim 3 under 35 U.S.C. 112:

In claim 3, lines 4 & 5, "a second predetermined period", is claimed, however, there is no predetermined period previously claimed.

20

#### Response:

Claims 3 and 10 are amended to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112 detailed in the above Office action, the term "second" is no longer recited, thus bringing the claim in line with the method recited in the Detailed Description.

# 3. Objection to claim 10:

Claim 10 is objected to because of the following informality: Claim 10, line 3, "fora" space is needed between the words "for a".

### Response:

Claim 10 is amended to overcome the above detailed 5 objection, a space having been duly inserted as suggested.

Sincerely,

10

Window Hou

Date: 5/12/2004

Winston Hsu, Patent Agent No. 41,526

P.O. BOX 506

Merrifield, VA 22116 U.S.A.

e-mail: winstonhsu@naipo.com.tw

(Please contact me by e-mail if you need a telephone communication and I will return your call promptly.)